# **SUMMARY RESEARCH PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002**

### Submitted to:

# SLV Research Center Committee and the Colorado Potato Administrative Committee (Area II)

**TITLE:** Comparison of Potato Vine Kill with Sulfuric Acid (simulated), Diquat, Desicate II, and Rely When Vines Remain Immature from Fungicide Applications (new title).

**PROJECT LEADERS:** Dr. Scott Nissen, Department of Bioagricultural Sciences and Pest Management, Colorado State University, Fort Collins.

**IMPACT STATEMENT:** Managing late blight to maintain the quality of SLV potatoes is a top priority; however, since most potatoes are stored for future delivery efforts most be made to insure that tubers are sufficiently mature to withstand significant handling. This research project attempted to understand the influence of aggressive late blight management on tuber maturity in order to maintain the salability of stored tubers.

**PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** Potato producers are concerned that intensive fungicide programs designed to manage late blight could be affecting tuber maturity by maintaining vines in an immature state. Tubers harvested without sufficient skin set could be easily bruised or skinned during handling.

In the SLV, most vines are killed with sulfuric acid. Sulfuric acid is effective and causes the most rapid rate of vine desiccation. Other vine desiccation products that could be used for potato vine kill in addition to sulfuric acid include Diquat, Desicate II or endothall and Rely. Aventis, now part Bayer Crop Protection, received a label for "Rely" for 2000 as a potato vine desiccant. Little information is available on potato vine desiccation with these products in the SLV.

**PROJECT STATUS:** Complete

# **SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS FOR 2002:**

- We redirected research efforts on vine kill to focus on interactions between fungicide
  applications which prolong the immature nature of vines and vine desiccation with
  sulfuric acid, Diquat, Desicate II, and Rely under field conditions in the SLV. Plots
  were planted to Russet Nuggets and Sangre to evaluate differences between
  varieties. Nuggets produce significant vines and can been difficult to kill, while
  Sangre are more susceptible to bruising or skinned during processing.
- Two fungicide treatment levels were established. The high fungicide program began on July 16<sup>th</sup>, and involved alternating applications of Quadris (6.4 zo prod/ac) and Dithane (2 lbs prod/ac) on a weekly basis for a total of 3 applications of each. The low application involved a single application of Dithane (2 lb prod/ac) applied on July 31<sup>st</sup>. The 2001 program was slightly different because the high program involved Quadris (15.4 oz prod/ac) applied July 13<sup>th</sup>, followed by: Bravo Weather Stick (1.5 pt prod/ac), Dithane (2lb prod/ac), and final Bravo (1.5 pts produ/ac) applied on August 24<sup>th</sup>. There were no fungicides applied to the low fungicide program in 2001. The

fungicide programs in 2002 did not produce as the same contrast between high and low fungicide programs compared to 2001. The hot, dry field season resulted in minimal visually differences between the two fungicide programs in 2002.

- Vine kill treatments were applied on August 28<sup>th</sup> and consisted of natural senescence (control), hand removal of vines to simulate sulfuric acid applications, Diquat, Desicate II, and Rely. All applications were made at the higher end of the recommended use rates (especially for Diquat and Desicate II) and applied in 20 gal/ac using a CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer. Plots were harvested on September 19-20<sup>th</sup> using the two row digger and graded on September 29. Sub-samples were taken for analysis of skin set using a variation of the torque meter test described by Halderson and Henning (Am. Pot. J. 70:132-141).
- There were no significant differences in yield due to high vs low fungicide programs and there were no difference in yield comparing vine kill treatments. Skin set within variety was not affected by fungicide or vine kill treatment, but Nugget skin set values were significantly higher than Sangre values. Due to space limitations treatments were replicated only three times in 2001, but in 2002 treatments were replicated 4 times. This did reduce variability but overall results were very similar between 2001 and 2002. Yields were better in 2002 by over 100 cwt/ac. (See attached sheets).

### **FUNDING REQUEST:**

2002 Allocation: \$5,000

2003 Request: \$0

The Influence of Fungicide Programs on Potato Vine Kill Colorado State University Location: Center, CO Investigator: Dr. Scott Nissen, Jim Sebastian Trial ID: POTO082

							,						
G	Crop Code						POTATO	POTATO	POTATO	POTATO	POTATO	POTATO	
בקיל לה מסיל	Part Rated										Rose	Nugget	
אָ נ מ (	kating Data Type						StemDes	LeafDrop	StemDes	StemDes LeafDrop StemDes LeafDrop Skin	Skin Set	Skin Set	
א ני מי	kating Unit						%	òР	%	ογο			
보     1   1	kating Date						9-4-02	9-4-02	9-11-02	39-11-02	10-9-02	10-9-02	
H N O	Trt Treatment No. Name	Fort	Form Form Conc Type	Rate		Fungi Appl cide Code	. 41						10
н	Untreated Check				High	4	88	11.3	42.5	66.3	110.3	139.0	
73	Sulfuric (Handpull)				High	4	100	100	100	100	117.0	135.5	
мм	Rely AMS	1 100	r SI	0.38 LB 5 LB/	LB A/A High LB/A	44	62.5	57.5	18.8	86.3	107.0	135.8	
44 44	Diquat NIS	100	SL	0.5 I	LB A/A High % v/v	44	51.3	83.8	15.5	ω ω ω	118.8	146.9	
വവവ	Dessicate II II 700 AMS	2 100 100	ы С	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	LB A/A High % V/V LB/A	444	89	51.3	25.0	80.0	108.3	144.8	
9	Untreated Check				LOW	Æ	88	6.3	36.3	8.8	116.3	128.5	
7	Sulfuric (Handpull)				Low	4	87.5	13.8		70.0	116.0	139.5	
∞ ∞	Rely AMS	1	S. L	0.38 LB 5 LB/	LB A/A Low LB/A	4 4	62.5	62.5	17.5	86.3	121.0	135.5	
თთ	Diquat NIS	100	SI	0.5 I	LB A/A Low % V/V	4 4	48.8	83.8	13.8	& & &	121.5	143.0	
10	Dessicate II LI 700 AMS	2 100 100	M C	1.0 0.5 5	LB A/A Low % V/V LB/A	444	61.3	56.3	15.0	86.3	115.0	142.5	
S.t. CV	LSD (P=.01) Standard Deviation CV						8.38 4.28 6.04	19.68 10.05 23.03	15.59 7.96 30.4	18.78 9.58 12.15	20.78 10.61 9.21	16.11 8.20 5.	1

r	

The Influence of Fungicide Programs on Potato Vine Kill Colorado State University

H C C P B	Trial ID: POTO082 Location: Center, CO Crop Code Part Rated			C, Inv	Cooperator: Investigator:		CPAC Dr. S	CPAC AREA II Dr. Scott Nissen, Jim POTATO POTATO	sen, Jim POTATO		POTATO	POTATO	POTATO	POTATO
R R	Rating Data Type Rating Unit								6 to 12	4 to 6	sangre <4	 Cull	#2	
I N	Trt Treatment No. Name	Form Form Conc Type	Forn	Rate	Rate Unit	Fungi Appl cide Code	Appl Code				מה/ שהדפ		1	
н	Untreated Check					High	¥	89	221.9	123.6	89.1	10.2	12.2	525
73	Sulfuric (Handpull)					High	4	09	228.0	121.7	69.7	15.5	3.1	498
тм	Rely AMS	100	SL	0.38	LB A/A High LB/A	High	4 4	34	230.9	139.3	76.3	4.3	8.0	493
ব্য ব্য	Diquat NIS	100	SL	0.5	LB A/A High % v/v	High	44	56	251.2	107.9	72.4	3.1	15.1	206
വവവ	Dessicate II II 700 AMS	100 100	E L L	5.0	LB A/A High % V/V LB/A	High	444	36	264.5	132.6	87.7	7.3	<b>4.</b> 6.	533
9	Untreated Check					Low	4	37	196.8	133.4	66.5	4.1	e. 9	444
7	Sulfuric (Handpull)					LOW	Æ	50	215.8	131.1	69.1	1.8	9.	477
∞ ∞	Rely AMS	100	SL	0.38	LB A/A Low LB/A	LOW	4 4	64	202.1	103.8	71.0	10.4	4.3	441
თთ	Diquat NIS	100	SL	0.5	LB A/A Low % V/V	LOW	44	33	197.0	122.4	86.5	9.6	5	452
0 1 1 1	Dessicate II LI 700 AMS 100 L	2 100 1 5	EC L	1.0 ; 0.5 ; LB/A	LB A/A % V/V	Low	444	56	212.1	119.9	85.9	14.9	0.0	48 9
LSD Stan CV	LSD (P=.01) Standard Deviation CV							43.7 22.3 46.52	115.54 58.97 26.56	49.65 25.34 20.51	38.95 19.88 25.68	21.46 10.95 134.94	11.07 5.65 85.78	170 87 18

# The Influence of Fungicide Programs on Potato Vine Kill Colorado State University

Trial ID: POTO082 Location: Center, CO

Cooperator: CPAC Investigator: Dr. Scott Nissen, Jim Sebastian

350.8 110.2 381.4 352.8 365.0 348.7 356.9 420.1 348.7 379.3 328.3 56.2 POTATO POTATO POTATO POTATO POTATO POTATO Total 12.9 13.1 9.9 9.4 4.7 7.3 4.5 7.5 #2 6.1 8.4 9.4 9.6 11.2 Cu11 5.7 -----Cwt/Acre----0.0 φ . 127 1.0 7.7 0.0 5.1 1.6 6.1 7.1 7.3 ----Nugget--38.0 19.4 69.5 6.94 74.2 72.0 6.99 6.99 84.8 6.69 72.8 59.8 **4** 4 to 6 101.2 39.8 20.3 89.5 95.0 76.7 97.5 95.2 87.5 78.7 83.6 79.1 140.5 130.5 137.0 162.5 144.2 127.0 126.2 12 oz 6 to 12 124.0 134.6 169.7 74.0 37.8 27 19.8 29.2 51.8 38.9 53.6 49.8 47.1 58.7 49.1 65.1 39.4 61.4 Code Appl 4 Ø 4 4 **A A A** 4 44 AA 444 4 N, 4 Fungi High Low LOW LOW High LOW High High High LOW cide LB A/A % V/V LB A/A % V/V LB A/A LB A/A LB A/A LB A/A Λ/Λ % 1/A % LB/A LB/A LB/A LB/A Rate Unit Conc Type Rate 0.5 0.38 0.25 0.38 0.5 1.0 1.0 Ŋ Ŋ Form Form o n SL SL ычч SL SIН 1001 100 100 100 1000 2 100 Sulfuric (Handpull) Sulfuric (Handpull) 7 a дд Standard Deviation CV Untreated Check Untreated Check Rating Data Type 100 100 H 10 Dessicate II Dessicate Rating Unit Trt Treatment LSD (P=.01) Part Rated Diquat NIS 10 LI 700 Diquat LI 700 Crop Code Rely Rely NIS No. Name AMS AMS AMS 10 AMS **σ** σ 2 2 2 9 ∞ ∞ Н N ന ന <u>\_</u>

# 2002 - Use of Funds Report

# Report on funds used rounded to the nearest dollar.

1. Project labor

PI has 9 month contract (summer salary)	1 week	\$1,500
Research associate	2 week	\$1,750
Student hourly and 2 labors for two days	3@ 2 days	\$480

Total Labor \$3,730

2. Project Travel: Three trips of two days each with meals and lodging for 2-3 people (\$200/trip vehicle charges, rooms \$50/night, meals \$25/day).

Total travel \$1,450

3. Project Chemicals: \$0.0

4. Project Ag Supplies: \$0.0

5. Project Equipment: \$0.0

6. Project Misc.

Nozzles and extra plus spray bottles \$50 **Total Misc. \$50** 

Total expenses \$5,185

SLVRCC Funding \$5,000

4 - 61,52		